

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				(* **
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,669	12/30/1999	GORLEY L. LAU	5298-03500-P	8129

26

01/09/2002

KEVIN L DAFFER CONLEY ROSE & TAYON P O BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 787670398

EX	EXAMINER				
CANTELMO, GREGG					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				

1753

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	871 -	15			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/476,669	LAU, GORLEY L.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregg Cantelmo	1753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
-5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ZYJa. O	1600			
Claim(s) allowed:	VI WILL	A Company			
Claim(s) objected to:	NAM(NGUYEN			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 21-23</u> .	SUPERVISORY (TENT EXAMINER			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24-30</u> .		Y CENTER 1700			
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
5. Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The additional limitations to claims 1 and 12 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 10. Other: Further Applicant's arguments to claims 24-30 have been considered but are not persuasive for the reasons set forth in the previous office action, incorporated herein. Claims 24-30 were withdrawn by original presentation to non-elected distinct inventions. Applicant additionally states that the independent claims are distinct from each other (first sentence of the final paragraph on page 4 of 11). Therefore it would still appear that the newly submitted claims are distinct inventions and therefore subject to restriction.

NAM NGUYEN

SUPERVICERY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700